

FIG. 6. The ratio of steady-state concentrations of C_4D_3 and C_4D_2 at about 2000°K plotted against the initial concentration of acetylene. Triangles: $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ C_2D_2 and neon; open circles: 5% C_2D_2 and neon; half-solid circles: 17% C_2D_2 and neon; squares: 5% C_2H_2 and neon.

When the radical C_4H_3 reaches a steady-state concentration, this mechanism gives the rate of formation of diacetylene

$$d[C_4H_2]/dt = k_3[C_4H_3][M] = k_1[C_2H_2]^2.$$
 (h)

If the rate of formation of diacetylene by Eq. (h) is identified with the rate of pyrolysis of acetylene [Eq. (c)], the earlier presented calculation of k_2 is justified. At a later stage in the reaction when both C_4H_3 and C_4H_2 reach steady-state concentrations, the mechanism embodying Reactions (1) to (5) leads to the expression:

in steady state: $[C_4H_3]/[C_4H_2] = (k_4/k_3)[C_2H_2],$ (i)

which is in agreement with experimental data shown in Fig. 6.

The mechanism, however, aside from not providing for the elimination of hydrogen atoms, presents other serious difficulties. The activation energy of the pyrolytic reaction [Eq. (c)] is in very satisfactory agreement with the heat of Reaction (1) since the reverse reaction should have only a very low activation energy. However, the pre-exponential factor in Eq. (c) is about as large as the collisional frequency, while a steric factor of 10^{-3} would be more reasonable.²⁷ This may not be a decisive difficulty if, following others,²⁸ we assume that an "equilibrium" is established:

$$C_2H_2(normal) + M \rightleftharpoons C_2H_2(triplet) + M,$$
 (6)

²⁷ S. W. Benson, *Foundations of Chemical Kinetics* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1960), p. 280.

²⁸ See, for example, Ref. 9.

where K is the equilibrium constant, and Reaction (1) is instead

$$C_2H_2(normal) + C_2H_2(triplet) \longrightarrow C_4H_3 + H.$$
 (1')

Then $k_1 = k_1'K$ and the larger entropy of the triplet state, if it is not linear,²⁹ would result in the preexponential factor of k_1 being larger than normal.

The triplet state of acetylene is very reactive³⁰ but for this mechanism to be acceptable the energy of the triplet state must be no higher than 42 kcal²⁹ and the singlet transition must occur very readily on collisions for the equilibrium to be established rapidly enough. If Reaction (3) has an activation energy of no more than 50 kcal, it can be estimated to be fast enough to account for the observed rate of the establishment of steady state in C4H3. However, the sum $\Delta H_1 + \Delta H_3 = 106$ kcal is known reasonably accurately²² and since ΔH_1 cannot be much larger than 42 kcal, 50 kcal for ΔH_3 is definitely too low. Furthermore the calculated ΔH_4 is much too positive to reconcile with the observed rapid increase in the steady-state concentration of diacetylene with temperature. Finally, the mechanism does not dispose of hydrogen atoms. The reaction $C_2H_2+H\rightarrow C_2H+H_2$ could be fast enough²³ only if its activation energy is less than about 15 kcal. The mass peak of this radical is unfortunately obscured by that of a fragment ion from acetylene and could not be identified. Further discussion of this and other reactions of hydrogen atoms and free radicals involved would be a pure speculation and seems not justified on the basis of limited experimental data available. However, we wonder whether the mechanism of isotopic exchange between acetylene and deuterium proposed by Kuratani and Bauer³¹ is the correct one. In view of our data it could involve the primary formation of hydrogen atoms by Reaction (1), their isotopic exchange with deuterium molecules, and then Reaction (2). However such explanation calls for a different rate law from that reported by Kuratani and Bauer, and we are not able to resolve this difficulty. A freeradical mechanism of the thermal decomposition of acetylene and the nature of the primary reaction we believe, however, to have been established rather firmly.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the National Science Foundation for funds which made this work possible.

 ²⁹ C. K. Ingold and G. W. King, J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 2702.
³⁰ C. A. Arrington and G. B. Kistiakowsky (to be published)

³⁰ C. A. Arrington and G. B. Kistiakowsky (to be published). ³¹ K. Kuratani and S. H. Bauer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87,150 (1965).